

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,616	09/30/2003	Mina Chow	005618.P3611	7937	
7590 10/11/2006			EXAMINER		
James C. Scho		GRAY, PHILLIP A			
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			3767		
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,616	CHOW ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip Gray	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. • period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI or statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	19 July 2006.		
,— ,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	illowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-137</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-114,123-12	<u>6,129-131 and 134-137</u> is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,115-122,127,128,132 and</u>	<u>133</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	• , ,	- ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
·, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	٠, ١٠٠٠٠٠٠		

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

4)	Intervi	ew Sumn	nary (l	PTO	-413)
	Paper	No(s)/Ma	ail Date	e	
		_ : : :			

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date: IDS of 12/12/03, 9/29/04, 10/28/04, 11/22/04, 5/6/05, 6/10/05, 6/27/05, 5/19/06, 6/02/06.

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicant's communication of 7/19/2006.

Currently elected claims 1-28, 115-122, 127, 128, 132, 133 are pending and rejected below.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-28, 115-122, 127, 128, 132, 133, in the reply filed on 7/19/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 29-114, 123-126, 129-131, 134-137 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/19/2006.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3767

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Webster et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,123,699) herein after WEBSTER. WEBSTER

discloses a deflectable catheter assembly comprising: a catheter shaft (interior of
element 91); a tendon (31) disposed within a first lumen of said catheter shaft, said first
lumen being approximately centrally located within said catheter shaft at said catheter
proximal section (as in figure 8 for example) and said first lumen located off-center of
said catheter shaft at said catheter distal section (as in figure 6A and 6b), said tendon
being able to deflect said catheter distal section when being pulled on (as in figures 1
and 7); and a catheter handle (14) coupled to said catheter shaft, said catheter handle
including a first control mechanism (63 for example) to control said tendon, and slip
bands (33). Webster also comprises a needle (not shown but inherent, see paragraphs
beginning at column 3, line 16), or a plurality of needles, disposed within a lumen of said
catheter shaft (not shown but in fifth lumen and an inherent feature of Webster, also
concerning the limitations of claims 10-11).

Concerning claims 5 and 6, Webster further comprises, an axial spine (91 or 92) disposed around and over a first section of said tendon, said first section being substantially aligned with said catheter proximal section, said axial spine to resist axial compression along said catheter proximal section (See figure 11), and a flexible tendon sheath (95) coupling to said axial spine, said flexible tendon sheath extending a second section of said tendon and said second section being substantially aligned with said catheter distal section (see figures 1-14)

Concerning claim 8 and 9, Webster discloses a tip electrode (29) located at the tip of said catheter distal section and coupled to a conductive lead that extends out of said catheter shaft and at least one additional electrode (28). Webster discloses a deflectable catheter fully capable of all flexibility requirements in claims 16-17 (see figure 7). Further, Webster discloses a pre-shaped guide sheath (92, 91) disposed around said catheter shaft that is fully capable of satisfying all functional, structural, and operational limits of the claims as written.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3767

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webster in view of Edwards et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,254,598).

Webster discloses the claimed invention except is silent as to the medical device comprising a needle or a plurality of needles with at least one inflatable balloon coupling to said plurality of needles (and with a divergent angle), tube mechanism with needle stop disposed within a lumen of a catheter shaft being extendable from and retractable into the catheter distal section and a control in the catheter handle. Edwards teaches that it is known to use the needles/balloon mechanism (figure 8) as set forth in abstract and specifically columns 5-12 to provide a unique and direct medical treatment operation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the catheter as taught by Webster with the needles/balloon mechanism as taught by Edwards, since such a modification would provide the catheter with the needles/balloon mechanism for providing a unique and direct medical treatment operation.

Art Unit: 3767

Claims 115-122, 127-128, 132, and 133 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webster in view of Edwards and in further view of Balbierz (U.S. Patent Number 6,770,070).

Webster in view of Edwards discloses the claimed invention except for the using and coupling pressure sensors to a needle. Balbierz teaches that it is known to use and coupling pressure sensors to a needle as set forth in paragraphs beginning at column 12, line 39 to provide a specific diagnostic feedback mechanism to the catheter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the catheter as taught by Webster in view of Edwards with using and coupling pressure sensors to a needle as taught by Balbierz, since such a modification would provide the catheter with using and coupling pressure sensors to a needle for providing a specific diagnostic feedback mechanism to the catheter. Balbierz further discloses using and coupling pressure sensors to a needle that would be fully capable of satisfying all structural, functional, and operational claim limitations.

Concerning claims 132 and 133, Webster in view of Edwards discloses the claimed invention except is silent about the needle made of a polymer material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the needle of a polymer material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Art Unit: 3767

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gray whose telephone number is (571) 272-7180. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAG

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mevin C. Sermons